REMARKS

Claims 5-12 have been allowed by the Examiner. Claims 2-4 were objected to for depending from a rejected base claim. However, dependent claim 2 has been rewritten in independent form, and clearly indicates how the first two steps relate to the second two steps and clarifies the number of molded models (support for which is located at paragraph 0022). Claim 2, and thus claims 3 and 4 which now depend from claim 2, are respectfully submitted to be in condition for allowance. Claims 13-15 were withdrawn and now cancelled. Based on the above remarks, the Applicant asserts that claims 2-12 are in condition for allowance as presented and/or amended, and requests that these claims be allowed to proceed to issuance. If any issue regarding the allowability of any of the pending claims in the present application could be readily resolved, or if other action could be taken to further advance this application such as an Examiner's amendment, or if the Examiner should have any questions regarding the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner please telephone Applicant's undersigned attorney in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Oct 16, 2007

Mark E. Stallion
Reg. No. 46,132
Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP
720 Olive Street, Suite 2400
St. Louis, MO 63101
314-345-6000
ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT